

November 23, 2021

To the Mayor and City Council
City of Davison, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of City of Davison, Michigan (the "City") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021 and have issued our report thereon dated November 23, 2021. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit, which is divided into the following sections:

Section I - Required Communications with Those Charged with Governance

Section II - Legislative and Information Items

Section I includes information that current auditing standards require independent auditors to communicate to those individuals charged with governance. We will report this information annually to the mayor and City Council of the City of Davison, Michigan.

Section II contains updated legislative and information items that we believe will be of interest to you.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the City's staff, especially Andrea and Julie, for the cooperation and courtesy extended to us during our audit. Their assistance and professionalism are invaluable.

This report is intended solely for the use of the mayor, the City Council, and others within the City and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome any questions you may have regarding the following communications, and we would be willing to discuss these or any other questions that you might have at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Pamela Hill, CPA
Partner



Chris Gilbert, CPA
Manager

Section I - Required Communications with Those Charged with Governance

Our Responsibility Under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated March 25, 2021, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities. Our responsibility is to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of the City. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

We are responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures specifically to identify such matters.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our meeting about planning matters on August 27, 2021.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the City are described in Note 1 to the financial statements.

No new accounting policies were adopted, and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year except for the City's implementation of GASB 84, *Fiduciary Activities*.

We noted no transactions entered into by the City during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were the estimated net pension liability and net other postemployment benefit liability. Management's estimates of the net pension liability and net other postemployment benefit liability are based on the pension actuarial valuations and the other postemployment benefit valuation, respectively. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

**Section I - Required Communications with Those Charged with Governance
(Continued)**

Disagreements with Management

For the purpose of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report.

We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. We did not detect any misstatements as a result of audit procedures.

Significant Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, business conditions affecting the City, and business plans and strategies that may affect the risks of material misstatement, with management each year prior to our retention as the City's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship, and our responses were not a condition of our retention.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated November 23, 2021.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a second opinion on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items

COVID-19 Resource Center and ARPA

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, Plante & Moran, PLLC's COVID-19 task force of leaders across the firm has monitored, addressed, and provided insight related to the virus and the unique challenges our local governments have faced while continuing to provide essential services to their communities through our COVID-19 resource center at <https://www.plantemoran.com/explore-our-thinking/areas-of-focus/covid-19-government-resource-center>. This will continue as our nation emerges from this crisis.

In March 2021, the president signed the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) into law, which included federal stimulus funding for state and local governments of all sizes. The largest of all funding streams, the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (CSLFRF), represents a \$350 billion top-line allocation for state and local governments. Funding began to be distributed nationwide in May 2021, although smaller municipalities will need to wait for the funding to pass through their state governments. The U.S. Department of the Treasury recently published the interim final rule (IFR), which establishes a framework for determining the types of programs and services that are eligible uses of the CSLFRF funding.

The ARPA award terms provide that payments from the Fiscal Recovery Funds as a general matter will be subject to the provision of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (the "Uniform Guidance"), including the cost principles and restrictions on general provisions for selected items of cost. The City will need to understand these reforms and may be required to evaluate, document, and monitor internal procedures around compliance, including maintaining certain required policies.

The COVID-19 resource center is being continuously updated for the latest guidance and strategy related to CSLFRF and will help keep the City running smoothly through our nation's recovery.

Want to receive relevant content directly to your email? Subscribe at <https://www.plantemoran.com/subscribe> where you can customize your subscription preferences based on your specific interests and industry selection.

Michigan's COVID-19 Updates and Related Grant Programs

The Michigan Department of Treasury has developed a webpage with numbered letters, memorandums, webinars, and resources regarding COVID-19 updates and related grant programs: https://www.michigan.gov/treasury/0,4679,7-121-1751_98769---,00.html.

AICPA State and Local Government Client Affiliates

The AICPA has adopted a revised auditor independence interpretation that impacts entities reporting under the GASB framework. The new rules define four types of affiliates (entities affiliated with your financial statements) that may expand the scope of our required auditor independence related to your audit. The four types of affiliates defined by the AICPA include entities included in your financial statements and certain entities excluded from your financial statements and may also include certain of your investment holdings. Because auditor independence is a shared responsibility between your organization and Plante & Moran, PLLC, you should be aware of and understand these changes. In addition, we will need your help to perform an initial evaluation under these revised standards and will also likely need your continuing assistance to comply with these rules in the future. The changes are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2021, which means we must be independent of your affiliates as of the first day of the year of required adoption, or July 1, 2022. For more information on these changes, please view our on-demand webinar <https://www.plantemoran.com/explore-our-thinking/events/2021/07/revised-state-and-local-government-client-affiliates-independence-guidance>.

Auditor Reporting Standards

The AICPA Auditing Standards Board (ASB) issued several new standards that will be effective shortly, which will significantly change the independent auditor's report and make some changes to certain required audit procedures. The standards discussed below are both first effective for your fiscal year ending June 30, 2022.

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items (Continued)

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 137 addresses auditors' responsibilities relating to other information included in annual reports. This new standard may increase the scope of audit procedures and may result in some audit work being performed outside of the normal timing. To the extent that the City issues a document meeting the AICPA's definition of an annual report under the additional audit procedures will need to be performed on that separate document before it is issued.

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 134 requires changes in the form and content of the auditor's report issued as a result of an audit of financial statements in order to provide financial statement users with more meaningful information about the audit process and meaning of auditor opinions. This is the first significant change to auditors' reports in years.

Significant elements of the new standard include:

- Revision of order for elements of the opinion letter, including moving the auditor's opinion to the top of the letter
- Expansion of information to be included within a basis of opinion section and notification to the user that the auditor is required to be independent of the entity and meet other ethical responsibilities
- Explanation of how misstatements to financial statements are determined to be material
- Addition of definition of "reasonable assurance" and identifying that the risk of material misstatement due to fraud is greater than the risk due to error
- Enhanced reporting related to going concern, including a description of management's responsibilities when required by the applicable reporting framework
- Description of the auditor's responsibilities, including responsibilities relating to professional judgment and professional skepticism, internal controls, identification of risks of material misstatement to the financial statements, evaluation of accounting policies used, conclusion on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and the auditor's communications with those charged with governance

We are happy to discuss these changes with you so that you are well prepared.

Cybersecurity and Information Technology Controls

Cyberattacks are on the rise across the globe, and the cost of these attacks is ever increasing. Because of these attacks, municipalities stand to lose their reputation, the ability to operate efficiently, and proprietary information or assets. Communities potentially can also be subject to financial and legal liabilities. Managing this issue is especially challenging because even a municipality with a highly mature cybersecurity risk management program still has a residual risk that a material cybersecurity breach could occur and not be detected in a timely manner. We understand that the technology department continues to monitor and evaluate this risk, which are critical best practices. Additionally, periodic assessments of the system in order to verify that the control environment is working as intended are key parts of measuring associated business risk. We encourage administration and those charged with governance to work with the technology team on this very important topic. If we can be of assistance in the process, we would be happy to do so.

Act 51 Report Due Date

The Michigan Department of Transportation has granted an automatic 60-day extension to cities and villages that are required to file the Act 51 report. The updated policy will extend the Act 51 report deadline to be due within six months of the fiscal year end date, which will align with the due date for the audited financial statements. For cities and villages whose most current fiscal year ends before July 1, the Act 51 report must be submitted by December 31 to be included in the snow payment calculation (if eligible and qualified).

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items (Continued)

This extension does not apply to counties. Counties are required to submit the Act 51 report to MDOT by May 1 every year, regardless of their fiscal year end.

Updated Uniform Chart of Accounts

In April 2017, the State released an updated Uniform Chart of Accounts. Originally, local units of government were expected to comply with the changes beginning with June 30, 2018 year ends. However, the State has extended the deadline for compliance. On April 20, 2020, the State issued a memo that sets an implementation date for fiscal years ending on October 31, 2022 and thereafter. The State has committed to releasing various tools to help local units with implementation, including FAQs and clarification on which accounts should be used when implementing GASB 84. A final release of the chart of accounts was issued in November 2020 and is available at this link: https://www.michigan.gov/documents/uniformchart_24524_7.pdf. This final version follows various exposure drafts and revisions in order to comply with changing GASB standards and statutory changes and reformats the document to make it more user-friendly. The Treasury will provide alerts for any guidance and resources, and local units can sign up for alerts at this link: https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/MITREAS/subscriber/new?qs=MITREAS_1.

Revenue Sharing

The fiscal year 2021 governor's budget recommendation includes \$1.4 billion for revenue sharing. Further details of the breakdown of this amount are available at https://www.michigan.gov/treasury/0,,7-121-1751_2197---,00.html.

In order to receive the City, Village, and Township Revenue Sharing (CVTRS) payments in FY 2021, qualified local units will once again need to comply with the same best practices as they did last year as follows:

- A citizen's guide to local finances with disclosure of unfunded liabilities
- Performance dashboard
- Debt service report
- Two-year budget projection

Rules Governing Management of Federal Programs

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued significant reforms to the compliance requirements that must be followed by nonfederal entities receiving federal funding related to awards on or after December 26, 2014. While these revisions were not too recent, the revisions were the most significant change to occur to federal grants management in recent history. While many communities have historically been below the \$750,000 single audit threshold, recent legislation provides for an increase in federal spending and, therefore, may be subject to an audit requirement; the City will need to understand these reforms and may be required to make changes to internal procedures, processes, and controls.

- **Cost Principles** - There were certain changes made to allowable costs and significant changes in the area of time and effort reporting and indirect costs.
- **Administrative Requirements** - Nonfederal entities receiving federal funding must adhere to revised rules related to administering federal awards. Most notably, the requirements may impact the City's procurement systems, including maintaining written conflict of interest policies and disclosures.

The City will need to ensure that consideration of the implementation of these regulations has occurred; if it has not, the City needs to work quickly to put the requirements into practice. Plante & Moran, PLLC has many experts in this area and welcomes any questions or needs you may have.

**Section II - Legislative and Informational Items
(Continued)**

Federal Procurement Threshold Changes

The Office of Management and Budget has issued significant reforms to the compliance requirements that must be followed by nonfederal entities. The Office of Management and Budget recently issued Memorandum M-18-18, which provides guidance on changes to micropurchases and simplified acquisition threshold requirements. The key changes are as follows:

- Threshold for micropurchases is increased to \$10,000.
- Threshold for simplified acquisitions (small purchase procedures limit) increased to \$250,000.

Key adoption considerations for micropurchase and simplified acquisition thresholds include the following:

- During the original adoption of the Uniform Guidance procurement standards, were specific amounts included within the City’s procurement policy, or were references to the Uniform Guidance sections or amounts as adjusted referenced? If specific amounts were referenced, the procurement policy will need to be updated to take advantage of the changes.
- If the City’s procurement policy was written to allow for changes in amounts, the procedures will need to be updated to conform.
- If this change is inconsistent with other procurement policies within the organization, the City must decide how the policy will be enacted. Remember local ordinances in place may limit full utilization of changes.
- If the City has chosen not to fully adopt the change and maintain a lower threshold, then the City is not required to use these thresholds but cannot exceed them.

Legacy Costs

Legacy costs and the challenge of funding them continue to be topics of discussion. GASB pronouncements of late have placed even more focus on the net long-term liability arising from these benefit promises by requiring governmental financial statements to reflect the net pension and OPEB liabilities. For many governments, these net liabilities are significant. In addition, Public Act 202 of 2017 has brought further focus on the funding level of these plans.

The following are the funding levels per the funding valuations for the last three years for both pension and OPEB:

Fiscal Year	Pension - Union	Pension - Nonunion	OPEB
2021	48.0%	91.2%	13.3%
2020	48.0%	79.8%	9.7%
2019	49.0%	86.3%	8.1%

Maintaining or even improving the funded status of the plan(s) is dependent upon a number of factors, including the government’s contribution policies, its amortization policy for funding the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, its benefit levels, and the ability to make future changes to the plan.

That said, the challenge here is significant. We are happy to assist you in thinking through alternative ways to manage this liability.

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items (Continued)

Legacy Cost Reporting

Public Act 530 of 2016

On December 31, 2016, the governor signed Public Act 530 of 2016, which amends Public Act 314 of 1965, also known as Public Employee Retirement System Investment Act (PERSIA). This act was effective on March 29, 2017.

Under the prior act, communities were required to publish a summary annual report setting forth key information related to pension and retiree health care plans. The amendment requires that this summary annual report also be submitted to the Michigan Department of Treasury within 30 days of publication.

In addition, for any system (either pension or retiree health care) that is not funded at a level of at least 60 percent, the community must now post a report to its website indicating steps that are being undertaken to address the liability. In addition, this report must be submitted to the Department of Treasury within a reasonable time frame.

The legislation calls for the Department of Treasury to accumulate all of the reports and publish a summary of funding levels throughout the state.

Public Act 202 of 2017

On January 5, 2018, the Michigan Department of Treasury released initial reporting requirements under Public Act 202 of 2017 (the "Act"), which were primary components of the Act. These reporting requirements apply to all local units of government that offer or provide defined benefit pension and/or defined benefit OPEB retirement benefits.

Local units began reporting funded ratios and contributions in accordance with these uniform assumptions starting with their fiscal year 2019 if their audited financial statements were based on an actuarial valuation issued after December 31, 2018. If their fiscal year 2019 audited financial statements were based on an actuarial valuation issued prior to December 31, 2018, the local units will begin reporting on these uniform assumptions starting with their fiscal year 2020.

On October 21, 2019, the Michigan Department of Treasury released the updated uniform assumptions to be used for fiscal year 2020. Beginning with fiscal year 2020 reporting, all local governments must utilize the updated fiscal year 2020 uniform assumptions. Each year moving forward, the annual uniform assumptions will be updated and are expected to be utilized within Form 5572, where indicated, for that fiscal year. Local governments may utilize roll-forward procedures in nonvaluation years utilizing any updates to the uniform assumptions to calculate the data.

This means that the local unit potentially may need three calculations: a funding valuation (if the local unit chooses to have different assumptions for funding purposes), a valuation that complies with GAAP to be used for financial statement reporting, and a calculation that complies with the State's new uniform assumptions.

The releases by the Department of Treasury include the letters titled "Public Act 202: Selection of the Uniform Assumptions" and "Public Act 202: Selection of the Uniform Assumptions for Fiscal Year 2020," Numbered Letter 2018-1, Form 5572, detailed instructions for completion of Form 5572, and a listing of frequently asked questions. All documents can be located at http://www.michigan.gov/treasury/0,4679,7-121-1751_51556_84499---,00.html.

Form 5572 is due annually for both pension and OPEB plans provided by an employer no later than six months after the end of the fiscal year.

In addition to submitting this new form to the Department of Treasury, a local unit must also post this information either on its website or in a public place if it does not have a website. The governing body of a local unit will also need to receive a copy of this form, in accordance with the Act, but the Act does not require approval by the governing body before submission to the Treasury.

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items (Continued)

Public Act 202 defines that a local unit of government is in underfunded status if any of the following apply:

1. OPEB - Total plan assets are less than 40 percent of total plan liabilities according to the most recent annual report, and, for primary units of government*, the annual required contribution for all of the retirement health systems of the local unit is greater than 12 percent of the local unit of government's governmental funds operations revenue.
2. Retirement pension plans - Total plan assets are less than 60 percent of total plan liabilities according to the most recent annual report, and, for primary units of government, the annual required contribution for all of the retirement pension systems of the local unit is greater than 10 percent of the local unit of government's governmental funds operations revenue.

*Primary units of government are cities, villages, townships, and counties.

If, after submission of Form 5572, the Treasury determines your community to have underfunded status, you will have the opportunity to file a waiver under Section 6 of the Act. The waiver needs to provide a plan for how the underfunding is being addressed. This waiver will then be submitted to the Treasury.

In the event that a local unit has underfunded plans and does not submit a waiver or the waiver is not approved, the Treasury will perform an internal review. The local unit will also need to submit a corrective action plan to the Municipal Stability Board (under Section 7 of the Act). The local unit will be responsible for creating the corrective action plan (CAP) and must begin implementation within 180 days of CAP approval. The corrective action plan will be monitored by the Municipal Stability Board for substantial compliance with the Act every two years, which will require the local unit to complete the CAP Monitoring Form. If, at any time after a CAP has been approved, the local unit determines its previous submission is no longer substantially in effect, the local unit may file an updated CAP.

For governments with OPEB plans, Section 4(l)(a)(i)(ii) of Public Act 202 of 2017 requires the local unit to pay retiree insurance premiums for the year, as well as the normal costs for the new employees hired after June 30, 2018. The actuary likely will need to calculate this number in order for governments to comply. In addition, if communities must essentially prefund this additional cost, those communities without a qualifying OPEB trust will need to consider where these contributions will go.

Questions should be directed via email to the Treasury offices at LocalRetirementReporting@michigan.gov or by visiting its website at www.Michigan.gov/LocalRetirementReporting.

Numbered Letter 2018-3

On March 13, 2020, the Treasury issued Numbered Letter 2018-3 (Revised) as a revision to Numbered Letter 2018-3 that was first issued in September 2018. This revised numbered letter provides additional clarity and guidance for compliance with Public Act 202 related to the calculation and reporting of the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) for other postemployment benefit (OPEB) systems. The revision emphasized the following two key points:

1. The ADC, regardless of funding policy, must be calculated as the normal cost plus the amortization of the unfunded liability.
2. The ADC, calculated in accordance with the Act, must be reported in the audited financial statements. Note that OPEB plans that are not administrated through a trust are not required by GAAP to disclose the ADC in the required supplemental information section of the audited financial statements, but those plans should disclose this information in the footnotes to the financial statements, as required by this revised numbered letter.

Failure to calculate the ADC in compliance with this Numbered Letter 2018-3 (Revised) will be considered statutory noncompliance and shall be reported in the notes to the financial statements and result in an auditor finding for statutory noncompliance. Failure to report a compliance ADC in audited financial statements may result in the rejection of Form 5572 submissions and noncompliance with the Act and/or rejection of the local government's audited financial statements.

Section II - Legislative and Informational Items (Continued)

Upcoming Accounting Standards Requiring Preparation

GASB Statement No. 95 - Postponement of the Effective Dates of Certain Authoritative Guidance

This new pronouncement was adopted in May 2020 and is effective immediately. This statement postpones the effective dates of the following pronouncements and implementation guides by one year:

- Statement No. 83, *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations*
- Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*
- Statement No. 88, *Certain Disclosures Related to Debt*
- Statement No. 89, *Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period*
- Statement No. 90, *Majority Equity Interests*
- Statement No. 91, *Conduit Debt Obligations*
- Statement No. 92, *Omnibus 2020*
- Statement No. 93, *Replacement of Interbank Offered Rates*
- Implementation Guide No. 2017-3, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (and Certain Issues Related to OPEB Plan Reporting)*
- Implementation Guide No. 2018-1, *Implementation Guidance Update - 2018*
- Implementation Guide No. 2019-1, *Implementation Guidance Update - 2019*
- Implementation Guide No. 2019-2, *Fiduciary Activities*

The effective dates of the following pronouncement and implementation guide are postponed by 18 months:

- Statement No. 87, *Leases*
- Implementation Guide No. 2019-3, *Leases*

GASB Statement No. 87 - Leases

This new accounting pronouncement will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019 (June 15, 2021 after extension within GASB Statement No. 95). This statement requires recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. It establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Under this statement, a lessee is required to recognize a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset, and a lessor is required to recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources.

We recommend beginning to accumulate information now related to all significant lease agreements in order to more efficiently implement this new standard once it becomes effective.

Plante & Moran, PLLC will be providing trainings and other resources to our clients in the coming months to help prepare for the implementation of all these new standards. In the interim, please reach out to your engagement team for assistance in getting started.

**Section II - Legislative and Informational Items
(Continued)**

GASB Statement No. 89 - Interest Incurred during Construction

This new accounting pronouncement will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019 (December 15, 2020 after extension within GASB Statement No. 95). This statement eliminates capitalized interest and instead requires all interest expense, including the portion incurred during construction of a capital asset, to be expensed. Early adoption is encouraged.

GASB Statement No. 97 - Certain Component Unit Criteria, and Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans

Certain aspects of this standard impacting defined contribution pension and OPEB plans and other employee benefit plans were effective immediately in June 2020, but the provisions of this statement related to 457 plans clarifying when a 457 plan should be considered a pension plan or an other employee benefit plan to assist in the application of GASB Statement No. 84 are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021.